

Pamela M. Egan, WSBA No. 54736
POTOMAC LAW GROUP PLLC
1905 7th Ave. W.
Seattle, WA 98119
Telephone: (415) 297-0132
Email: pegan@potomaclaw.com
Attorneys for Mark D. Waldron, Chapter 7 Trustee

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**

In re:

GIGA WATT, Inc., a Washington
corporation,

Debtor.

Case No. 18-03197 FPC 11

The Honorable Frederick P. Corbit

Chapter 7

**TRUSTEE'S REQUEST FOR
JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT
OF TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO
FIND THE PERKINS GROUP IN
CONTEMPT AND TO IMPOSE
SANCTIONS**

Mark D. Waldron, in his capacity as the duly appointed Chapter 7 Trustee,
by and through his attorneys, the Potomac Law Group PLLC, hereby submits his
*Request for Judicial Notice in Support of the Trustee's Motion to Find the Perkins
Group in Contempt and to Impose Sanctions*, filed herewith.

Pursuant to Rule 201 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, the Trustee
respectfully requests that the Court take judicial notice of the documents listed
below.

TRUSTEE'S REQ. FOR JUDICIAL
NOTICE ISO TRUSTEE'S MOTION
TO FIND THE PERKINS GROUP IN
CONTEMPT AND TO
IMPOSE SANCTIONS -- Page | 1

1 **REQUESTS FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE OF DOCUMENTS**

2 1. *Class Action Complaint*, filed in the United States District for the
3 Eastern District of Washington (“District Court”) on December 16, 2020, Case
4 No. 2:20-cv-00464-SAB, ECF No. 1, attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**;

5 2. *Order Denying Motions and Appeals*, dated July 7, 2022, Case No.
6 2:21-cv-00159-SAB, attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**;

7 3. *Order Cancelling Status Conference; Striking Motions; Staying*
8 *Case*, filed in the District Court on August 1, 2022, Case No. 2:21-cv-00291-SAB,
9 ECF No. 56, attached hereto as **Exhibit 3**.

10 **ARGUMENT**

11 The foregoing documents fit squarely within the ambit of Rule 201, which
12 provides:

13 The Court may judicially notice a fact that is not subject to
14 reasonable dispute because it: . . . (2) can be accurately and readily
15 determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be
16 questions.

17 F.R.E. 201.

18 To determine the accuracy of the foregoing one need only review the docket
19 of the District Court.

20 “[I]t is standard for a court to take judicial notice of the existence of another
21 court’s opinion.” *Metropolitan Creditors' Trust v. Pricewaterhouse-coopers,*
22 *LLP*, 463 F. Supp. 2d 1193, 1197–98 (E.D. Wash. 2006) (citing *Cal. ex rel. RoNo*,

23 TRUSTEE’S REQ. FOR JUDICIAL
24 NOTICE ISO TRUSTEE’S MOTION
25 TO FIND THE PERKINS GROUP IN
CONTEMPT AND TO
IMPOSE SANCTIONS -- Page | 2

1 *LLC v. Altus Fin. S.A.*, 344 F.3d 920, 931 (9th Cir. 2003) and *Lee v. City of Los*
2 *Angeles*, 250 F.3d 668, 690 (9th Cir. 2001)).

3 **CONCLUSION**

4 Wherefore, the Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court take judicial
5 notice of the foregoing documents and grant such other and further relief as the
6 Court deems necessary and just.

7 Dated: December 13, 2022

POTOMAC LAW GROUP PLLC

8
9 By: s/ Pamela M. Egan

Pamela M. Egan (WSBA No. 54736)
Attorneys for Mark D. Waldron, Chapter
7 Trustee

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 TRUSTEE'S REQ. FOR JUDICIAL
23 NOTICE ISO TRUSTEE'S MOTION
24 TO FIND THE PERKINS GROUP IN
CONTEMPT AND TO
IMPOSE SANCTIONS -- Page | 3